Dr. David K. Minton
Jefferson Medical Park
1121 Sputh Jefferson St.,
Roanoke, VA 24016

6/28/92

Dear Dr. Minton,

Please excuse my use of this oldpaper and my breivity. An unexpected and sudden fever of several days that broke during the night has left me weak so I'm using what is at hand.

And that for the enclosures. There remains an mystery about the alleged Oswald attempt to shoot McDonald because the FBI report says there was no mark on the bullet or that no hammer struck it. There also is no chain of possession on that pistol.

I do now recall the Jenkins letter. Would it, aside from the great pressure on those doctors, be more consistent with what he now says if in the penultimate paragraph he had dictated "to" instead of "and" in (temporal an occipital)"? (Exhibit No. 9 in what?)

I've done no more real work on the brain damage than Post Mortem refelcts for several reasons. One is that when I discovered that the Commission had hot published all the frames of the Zapruder film that it had gotten from LIFE I got the Archives to add them to the tray and let me examine them with care, in considerable projected enlargement. As JFK turns to his left the back of the head is clearly visible and it is intact. There was then no visible blood on the shirt collar or jacket. Another reason relates to the confitioning of recollection by all the interviews by those arguing a preconception rather than seeking fact. And then, of cpurse, the pressures on them.

As you may remember, I explain the inability to probe the back wound in Post Mortem. The prosectors were not very bright or they'd have known it.

With regard to what you say about JAMA, I am, under some difficulties, writing a book on that outrageous think Lundberg did. The tentative title is "28 Years of Silence." (Not one was silent! As to a degree I'm right now documenting in what I laid aside because of this fever. Boswell in particular was interviewed often, as probably by now Lundberg has learned. I prepared the first reporter who interviewed him.) While I am not now confident about the writing quality of what I'm producing, I am confident about the fact.

You refer to a JAMA castigation of the autopsy in the late 1960s. I'd appreciate a copy of that. I have what was leaked to it for the 1/4/64 issue. It was also castigated by the American Academy of Forensic Sciences.

There is no question, the Dallas doctors could not have been more specific in describing the anterior neck wound as of entrance. I have the text of their press conference. Clark was with Perry when he said this at least two and perhaps three times. And all the papers did carry it immediately, as UPI did in the story of two days later that I'm glad to get. (Years ago, when I expected to deposit all I have with the Wisnonsin Historial Society at the Stevesn Point university, I gave that university all my clippings in the belief I'd have no more need for them. I have since shifted this deposit to local Hood College.

Thanks and vest wishes, Harold Weisberg